

CGIAR Research Program on Agriculture for Nutrition & Health - A4NH Independent Advisory Committee Meeting December 12, 2013 - IFPRI, Washington, DC Conference Room 7AB- 7th Floor

Chair: Robert Paarlberg

Purpose: The Independent Advisory Committee (IAC) provides independent advice to the IFPRI Director General, the IFPRI Board, and to the A4NH Director and Planning and Management Committee on the following:

- Program strategy
- ♣ Plans of work and budget
- **♣** Research quality, relevance, and innovation
- **♣** Monitoring and evaluation
- Potential for outcomes and impacts and
- ♣ Other advice relative to the strategy, implementation, and performance of the program

Objectives of the Meeting:

- IAC members will review A4NH progress in 2012 and 2013 and proposed new initiatives
- IAC members will provide advice on the proposed Plan of Work and Budget for 2014
- IAC members will provide advice on A4NH's Theory of Change and Impact Pathway plans
- IAC members will provide advice on partnership, capacity development, and regional strategies
- IAC members will review progress in implementing the gender strategy
- IAC members will provide advice on A4NH's monitoring and evaluation plans

The Committee will produce a short document outlining their guidance on the above points in session 11.

DATE & TIME	AULIDA		
DECEMBER 11, 2013	Pre-Meeting		
6:30 - 9:00 PM	DINNER WITH IAC MEMBERS AT: St Gregory Hotel/M Street Bar & Grill- the Library at lobby level 2033 M Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036		
DECEMBER 12, 2013		MEETING CONFERENCE ROOM 7AB	DISCUSSANTS
08:00 - 08:30	LIGHT BREAKFAST AND COFFEE		
08:30 - 08:40	Session 1 Welcome and Introduction - Shenggen Fan		
08:40 - 8:50	Session 2	Opening remarks - IAC Chair – Robert Paarlberg	
8:50 - 9:10	Session 3	Update on: A. A4NH progress and plans B. Action points from the 1st IAC meeting John McDermott	
9:10 - 12:30 with 15 minutes COFFEE BREAK at 10:30AM	Session 4	Presentation and discussion on Research Themes: Progress (2012 - 2013) and Plans (2014 - 2015) A. Overview – John McDermott B. Value Chains for Enhanced Nutrition – Maximo Torero C. Biofortification – Howdy Bouis D. Agriculture Associated Diseases – Delia Grace E. Integrated Programs and Policies –Marie Ruel	Jeroen A. Bordewijk Emmy Simmons Mary A-Nyamongo S. Mahendra Dev
12:30 - 13:15		LUNCH	
13:15 - 14:00	Session 5	Comments on 2014 Plan of Work and Budget (POWB) John McDermott	
14:00 - 14:30	Session 6	Update on Theory of Change and Impact Pathways Work/Book - Nancy Johnson	S. Mahendra Dev Emmy Simmons
14:30 - 15:00	Session 7	Progress on Health in A4NH - Delia Grace	Robert Paarlberg Mary A-Nyamongo
15:00 - 15:30	Session 8	Update on Partnerships, Capacity Development and Regional Strategies - John McDermott	Jeroen A. Bordewijk Emmy Simmons
15:30 - 15:50	Session 9	Update on implementing the A4NH Gender Strategy Hazel Malapit	Mary ANyamongo S. Mahendra Dev
15:50 - 16:10	Session 10	Monitoring and Evaluation Plan - John McDermott	Emmy Simmons Robert Paarlberg
16:10 - 17:00	COFFEE BREAK AND IAC MEMBERS ONLY DISCUSSION - CONFERENCE ROOM 6A		
17:00 - 17:30	Session 11 Main Recommendations from IAC and any Other issues or comments – Robert Paarlberg		
17:30 - 17:45	Session 12	Closing Remarks - Shenggen Fan	

AGENDA

Note: coffee/tea/water will be available at all times

DATE & TIME

Agriculture for Nutrition and Health (A4NH)

Independent Advisory Committee (IAC) Meeting
IFPRI, Washington, D.C.
December 12, 2013
Summary of Comments and Recommendations

Introduction

The Independent Advisory Committee (IAC), the Agriculture for Nutrition and Health (A4NH) research theme leaders, the A4NH program management unit (PMU), and the International Food Policy Research Institute's (IFPRI) Director General's Office met on December 12, 2013, at IFPRI headquarters in Washington, D.C. for their second annual meeting. A list of participants can be found at the end of this summary. Robert Paarlberg chaired the meeting.

The purpose of the IAC is to provide independent advice to the IFPRI Director General, the IFPRI Board, and to the A4NH Director on the following issues: program strategy; plans of work and budget; research quality, relevance, and innovation; monitoring and evaluation; potential for outcomes and impacts; and other advice relative to the strategy, implementation, and performance of the program.

Meeting objectives

The IAC members reviewed the following areas:

- A4NH progress to date, by theme, and proposed new initiatives
- A4NH progress in implementing the gender strategy

The IAC members provided advice to A4NH on the following issues:

- Proposed Plan of Work and Budget for 2014
- Theory of change and impact pathways plans
- Partnerships, capacity development, and regional strategies
- Monitoring and evaluation plans

This document summarizes the IAC's **key comments** and **recommendations** to the A4NH program.

Research Program

Leadership for Theme 1: Value Chains for Enhanced Nutrition (recommendation)

One major short term challenge is leadership continuity in the Theme 1 research area, following the departure of Laurian Unnevehr. The IAC is impressed with Maximo Torero's willingness to step in, but he has many other responsibilities beyond A4NH that could limit his ability to remain consistently focused on this task. A4NH is working to balance its portfolio by growing program areas other than HarvestPlus, and this will be more difficult without a full time, senior leader for Theme 1.

Health in A4NH (recommendation)

The IAC did not think their concerns about health in A4NH had been fully addressed and indicated the need for more health research projects. Health outcomes need to be strengthened and distinct from nutrition outcomes. The Phase 2 proposal might provide an opportunity to add research projects more closely linked to health topics such as: non-communicable diseases (NCDs) and obesity, health risks from animal keeping in urban slums, or occupational exposure to agricultural chemical use. To move forward in this area, it might be worth considering recruitment of a medical doctor to the research team. Robert Paarlberg and Mary Amuyunzu-Nyamongo volunteered to review and respond directly to

the PMU after the meeting on the Session 7: Health in A4NH document that had been prepared for this meeting.

Progress and plans for research areas (comment and recommendation)

The IAC noted that A4NH is well-regarded within the CGIAR system and its global visibility has increased this year, due in part to involvement in the high-profile *Lancet* series. The IAC reiterated that Theme 1: Value Chains for Enhanced Nutrition needs its own dedicated senior manager. Theme 2: Biofortification remains a standout program area, but HarvestPlus should develop a proactive response to the provitamin A findings in Zambia, which may otherwise be used by skeptics of biofortification to weaken the program in Africa and question the value of biofortification more generally. The IAC appreciated the Theme 3: Agriculture-associated Diseases presentation on food safety and observed that Theme 4: Integrated Programs and Policies has grown significantly this year under what seems to be an appropriate and disciplined vision. The IAC encouraged A4NH to think about how to integrate the many programs in Theme 4 into a cohesive narrative. Finally, although agriculture and nutrition projects have traditionally concentrated on impacts in rural areas, the IAC noted that the conversation is shifting to consider the impacts on urban poor populations.

In terms of the POWB for 2014, the IAC noted that it did not have enough time to thoroughly discuss the list of new ideas – 24 items – at this year's meeting, but the plan of work is creative. In the future, it would like the PMU to provide a stronger sense of priorities and explanation of the new initiatives under consideration. The IAC sees a healthy growth in funds available. After some further explanation from John McDermott, the IAC noted it had a better understanding of the differences with the Window 1 (W1) and Window 2 (W2) funding sources.

Development of impact pathways and theory of change (comment and recommendation)

The IAC understood the strategic importance of the conceptual work completed to date on the impact pathways and theory of change. Nevertheless, the IAC felt that the work as presented for this meeting was quite abstract and general, without enough in the way of a narrative, vivid examples, or essential distinctions between different regions or societies at different levels of development. The IAC encouraged the PMU to consider who their target audience would be for this product. The IAC made reference to its suggestion from last year that A4NH make a stronger effort to find a language that can communicate what the program is doing to non-specialists or people outside the CGIAR who are not familiar with the terminology and ideas associated with this new research space.

Regional plans and partnerships (comment and recommendation)

There was not enough time to discuss the regional plans. The IAC said it looked forward to seeing more details at next year's meeting. Regarding partnerships, the IAC understood that building partnerships – beyond financial or contracted relationships – is challenging, even within the CGIAR. Outside the CGIAR, building partnerships that bridge A4NH to the health sector will be most difficult, but very necessary, for work in this area. For scaling-up biofortification, HarvestPlus is developing strong experience with partnerships, including with public sector extension services, and may serve as a good source of lessons learned for A4NH to draw upon. In the coming year, the IAC encouraged A4NH to give more thought and effort on partnerships across the portfolio. For example, the members noted that the division of labor among the partnerships mentioned for the scaling up of biofortification was not clear and it was not clear how the private sector is, or would be, involved in A4NH, particularly in value chains.

Gender (comment and recommendation)

The IAC sees promising developments from a year ago, but the goals for the gender research program are still not clear. The IAC suggested that a precise list of research questions – the unanswered questions that A4NH could address – would make it more clear how A4NH plans to operationalize the gender strategy. Specifically, exploring what types of value chains would benefit women and thinking broadly about what type of development initiatives and technologies (e.g., provision of drinking water nearer to homesteads) can reduce the burden on poor women. The IAC noted that time use surveys can be a useful tool for framing policies in ways that clearly reflect women's challenges in achieving good nutrition and health for themselves and their children, and devising interventions that will reduce burdens on women and increase their chances for success. Gender research within A4NH should not exclude considerations of men.

Monitoring and evaluation (comment)

The IAC said it would like the idea of an externally commissioned review of A4NH research, such as the food safety work, and later working outward to other areas based on results from the first evaluations. The IAC agreed that it would be appropriate for the members to react to the A4NH evaluation plan once it has been developed in March 2014. Robert Paarlberg and Mahendra Dev volunteered to review the plan and provide input to the PMU and the IFPRI Board for its review of the plan.

Communications strategy (comment and recommendation)

The A4NH research program is young and does not yet have a fully balanced research portfolio, yet the IAC observed the research program is moving ahead nicely. The members' advice was for A4NH to constantly remember to maintain its identity, distinct from IFPRI and from other CRPs located in IFPRI, while looking for opportunities to synergize communication strategies. Although the Committee did not have enough time to discuss communications during the meeting, it noted that by the time A4NH reaches the time when Phase 2 proposals are submitted, they should be able to clearly communicate what the program is trying to achieve. The IAC advised that developing an effective external communications strategy would help A4NH achieve both of these communication goals related to identity and objectives.

Other comments and recommendations

The IAC agreed that next year's meeting should be expanded to **a day and a half** to provide adequate time for discussions of Phase 2 planning. Due to lack of time, some interesting 2013 issues, such as regional plans and partnerships with social entrepreneurs were not quite discussed during this year's meeting. The members requested holding next year's meeting **earlier in November**, prior to the IFPRI Board meeting.

A more detailed summary of the meeting is available from the PMU upon request.

List of Participants

INDEPENDENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Mary Amuyunzu-Nyamongo

Executive Director and co-founder of the African Institute for Health and Development (AIHD), Nairobi,

Kenya

Email: manyamongo@gmail.com

Jeroen A. Bordewijk

Former, Senior Vice President Supply Chain Excellence

Programme (retired), Unilever

Email: bordewijk.jeroen@gmail.com

S. Mahendra Dev

Director

Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research

Mumbai, India

Email: profmahendra@gmail.com

Robert Paarlberg

Betty Freyhof Johnson Class of 1944 Professor of

Political Science Wellesley College

Email: rpaarlbe@wellesley.edu

Emmy Simmons

Former Assistant Administrator,

United States Agency for International Development

(USAID), USA

Email: emmybsimmons@aol.com

RESEARCH THEME LEADERS

Howarth Bouis Delia Grace

Program Director HarvestPlus

IFPRI - 2033 K Street N.W., Washington DC 20006

Tel.: + 1 202 862 5641

Email: h.bouis@cgiar.org

Team Leader "Animal Health Food Safety & Zoonoses"

Markets, Gender and Livelihoods ILRI - PO Box 30709, Nairobi, Kenya

Tel: + 254 20 42223000

Email: d.grace@cgiar.org

Marie Ruel

Division Director

Poverty, Health, and Nutrition Division (PHND) IFPRI - 2033 K Street N.W., Washington DC 20006

Tel.:+ 1 202 862-5676

Email: m.ruel@cgiar.org

Maximo Torero

Division Director

Markets, Trade and Institutions Division (MTID) IFPRI - 2033 K Street N.W., Washington DC 20006

Tel.: +1 202 862-5662 Email: m.torero@cgiar.org

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT UNIT

Charlotte Block

Senior Program Manager, A4NH

IFPRI - 2033 K Street N.W., Washington DC 20006

Tel.: + 1 202 627-4356

Email: c.block@cgiar.org

Tigist Defabachew

Contract & Grants Administrator/Sr. Admin Coord.,

A4NH

IFPRI - 2033 K Street N.W., Washington DC 20006

Tel: + 1 202 862-5655

Email: t.defabachew@cgiar.org

Kimberly Keeton

Communications Specialist II, A4NH and PHND

IFPRI - 2033 K Street N.W., Washington DC 20006

Tel.: + 1 202 627-4399 Email: k.keeton@cgiar.org **Hazel Malapit**

Gender Research Coordinator, A4NH

IFPRI - 2033 K Street N.W., Washington DC 20006

Tel.: + 1 202 627-4355

Email: h.malapit@cgiar.org

John McDermott

Director, A4NH

IFPRI - 2033 K Street N.W., Washington DC 20006

Tel.: + 1 202 862-8138

Email: j.mcdermott@cgiar.org

Amanda Wyatt

Research Analyst, A4NH

IFPRI - 2033 K Street N.W., Washington DC 20006

Tel: + 1 202 862-4699 Email: a.wyatt@cgiar.org

DIRECTOR GENERAL'S OFFICE

Shenggen Fan

Director General

IFPRI - 2033 K Street N.W., Washington DC 20006

Tel.: +1 202 862-6496

Email: s.fan@cgiar.org

Rajul Pandya-Lorch

Head 2020 Vision Initiative and Chief of Staff

IFPRI - 2033 K Street N.W., Washington DC 20006

Tel: +1 202 862-8185

Email: r.pandy-lorch@cgiar.org

Stacy Roberts

Head of Donor Relations and Secretary to the Board of

Trustees

IFPRI - 2033 K Street N.W., Washington DC 20006

Tel.: +1 202 862-5646 Email: s.roberts@cgiar.org

OTHER ATTENDEES

Berber Kramer

Postdoctoral Fellow

Markets, Trade and Institutions Division (MTID) IFPRI - 2033 K Street N.W., Washington DC 20006

Tel.: +1 202 627-4364 Email: b.kramer@cgiar.org

List of Recommendations, Actions, and Deadlines

Recommendation	Actions	Deadline
Strengthen senior leadership for Theme 1	- Identify a full-time leader for Theme 1	- ASAP
Strengthen health in A4NH	- Robert Paarlberg and Mary Amuyunzu-Nyamongo will provide feedback to the PMU on the Session 7 document: Health in A4NH	- January 2014
Finalize the draft evaluation plan	 PMU will share the evaluation plan with Robert Paarlberg and Mahendra Dev for comments Robert and Mahendra will provide inputs to the PMU PMU will share evaluation plan with IFPRI Board 	- March 2014 - March 2014 - May 2014
Highlight key new initiatives for IAC review	- Provide a summary of priority future initiatives under consideration	Next IAC meeting - October 2014
Clarify regional plans	- Prepare a discussion document on regional plans for 2015-2016	Next IAC meeting - October 2014
Increase efforts in the area of partnerships	- Prepare a discussion document on partnership priorities and plans for 2015-2016	Next IAC meeting - October 2014
Clarify goals of gender research	- Prepare a discussion document on implementing gender research	Next IAC meeting - October 2014
Clearly communicate A4NH's goals to external audiences	- Develop strategic communication plan	Next IAC meeting - October 2014